

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Q. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,845		05/24/2001	Amit Goffer	0113US-Goffer	4088	
23521	7590	06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
	SALTAMAR INNOVATIONS				DONNELLY, JEROME W	
30 FERN LA SOUTH PO		, ME 04106		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
233111311121 <b>1</b> 112, 1112		,		3764	16	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/864,845	GOFFER, AMIT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerome W Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply	_					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	( 6/.)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2/19/12					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
•	1-57 and 62-	68				
4) $\square$ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. $1-57$ and $62-68$ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With total consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) in/ara abjected to	_					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement. 1-57	ard 62-68				
	•					
Application Papers						
Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Yaminer				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeding a policinary and request that any objection to the contract of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection in the second of the drawing of the attached of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152(d) or (f).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the second of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) have been received.	37 CFR 1.85(a).  ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Shave been received in Application of the drawing of the Edward of the Application of the December 1997.	37 CFR 1.85(a).  ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original that are considered to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected on the education of th	37 CFR 1.85(a).  ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No  d in this National Stage				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original that are considered to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies o	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected on the education of th	37 CFR 1.85(a).  ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No  d in this National Stage				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the correction of the original than the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected on the education of th	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No d in this National Stage				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the cert	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected. So have been received. So have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Of the certified copies not received.	37 CFR 1.85(a).  ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No  d in this National Stage  d.  Jeuimexa Arempd Allenuog Memorer				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the ce	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected aminer. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) shave been received. In the hard have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No d in this National Stage  d.  Jeulmex Aremud Allenuo (A) emoser				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the cert	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.  So have been received.  So have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  The certified copies not received.  A) Interview Summary of Paper No(s)/Mail Da	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.  (d) or (f).  on No d in this National Stage  d.  Jeulmex Aremud Allenuo (A) emoser				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,845

Art Unit: 3764

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-57 are, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 601, subclass 5.

II. Claims 62-68 are, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as to increase users speed and stamina/endurance.

As to the two inventions being distinct the examiner notes that all of the elements claimed within the apparatus claims are not claimed in the method claims, there the apparatus of claims is not necessary to practice the method of claims 62-68. The methods of class 128/898 are not a required search for an apparatus classified in class 601/5.

In view of applicants remarks directed toward the accuracy of the examiners classification of the apparatus and method. The examiner a reviewed the requirement and determined that the areas of classification should be narrowed to the above listed areas of restriction. The examiner feels that a clarification of the restriction requirement is necessary for the record and a proper restriction.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome W Donnelly at telephone number 308-2668.

Donnelly/DI

June 3, 2004

Jerome W. Donnelly Primary Examiner